

28. **Loan and investment.** (based on exam, 21.7.2014) (p.91) You will take a loan of \$60,000 at 7% yearly interest, at the start of year $k = 1$. We will consider different repayment schemes.

- (a) You will repay \$20,000 of the principal at the end of each year $k = 1, 2, 3$. At the end of each year you will also repay the interest accrued up to that time. How much interest do you pay each year?
- (b) Continue part 28a. At the beginning of year k you hold $\$60,000 - (k - 1)\$20,000$, for $k = 1, 2, 3$. This sum will be invested with yearly rate of return $i_{\text{inv}} = 0.15$ for the duration of the year. Evaluate the present worth of the returns on the investment (positive) and the interest payments (negative, with interest rate $i = 0.07$) over the 3 years of the loan, at discount rate i_{inv} .
- (c) The initial loan, P , is \$60,000, but consider a different repayment scheme from part 28a. You will make 3 equal payments at the end of years $k = 1, 2, 3$. What is the yearly payment if these equal payments will entirely repay the loan and its interest at the end of 3 years? Explain the difference from part 28a.
- (d) We now generalize the problem. Consider K yearly interest payments, I_k , $k = 1, \dots, K$, where the I_k 's are negative numbers (payments). The present worth of these payments, with annual discount rate i_{inv} , is denoted $\text{PW}(i_{\text{inv}})$. Note that the I_k 's are themselves interest payments on a loan, and that the annual discount rate, i_{inv} , is not an interest rate on the loan. Rather, i_{inv} is a rate of return on investments made with the loan whose interest payments are I_k .

The discount rate is constant but uncertain with estimated value \tilde{i}_{inv} and positive error estimate s :

$$\mathcal{U}(h) = \left\{ i_{\text{inv}} : i_{\text{inv}} \geq 0, \left| \frac{i_{\text{inv}} - \tilde{i}_{\text{inv}}}{s} \right| \leq h \right\}, \quad h \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

Derive an explicit algebraic expression for the minimum (most negative) present worth at horizon of uncertainty h . Denote this result $m(h)$.

- (e) Continuing part 28d, we require that the PW be no more negative than the value PW_c . The function $m(h)$ derived in part 28d is the inverse of the robustness function for this requirement, denoted $\hat{h}(\text{PW}_c)$. Schematically (not numerically) sketch the robustness function, $\hat{h}(\text{PW}_c)$ vs. PW_c .
- (f) Continuing part 28e, consider two alternative discount rates, and error estimates, for the interest payment scheme in part 28d:

$$\tilde{i}_{1,\text{inv}} < \tilde{i}_{2,\text{inv}} \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{i}_{1,\text{inv}}}{s_1} > \frac{\tilde{i}_{2,\text{inv}}}{s_2} \quad (12)$$

Which discount scheme would you prefer if there were no uncertainty in the discount rate? Does this preference hold at all levels of uncertainty? Explain in terms of robustness against uncertainty of the two schemes.